## 

A. P. KANE, warden,	
Petitioner, v.	ORDER FOR SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING
KELLY MATHENEY,	No. C 06-3965 MHP (pr)
NORTHERN DI	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT

En banc review is now pending in Hayward v Marshall, 512 F3d 536 (9th Cir), reh'g en banc granted, 527 F3d 797 (9th Cir 2008), in which important issues related to habeas petitions challenging the denial of parole in California may be decided. The en banc oral argument has occurred and the second round of supplemental briefing is in progress in <u>Hayward</u>. In light of the possibility that the <u>Hayward en banc</u> decision may affect the analysis of this parole denial case, the court requests supplemental briefing on it.

Accordingly, within ten days of the date the en banc decision in Hayward is issued, respondent must send a copy of that decision to petitioner. Within **twenty days** of the date the decision is issued, respondent must file and serve a supplemental brief (if any) on the impact of <u>Hayward</u> on this action. Within **forty-five days** of the date the decision is issued petitioner must file and serve his supplemental brief (if any) on the impact of Hayward on this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 1, 2008

United States District Judge